Application No. Applicant(s) 10/051,743 FISCHELL ET AL. Interview Summary Examiner Art Unit Scott M. Getzow 3762 All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) Scott M. Getzow. (3)_____. (2) Dr. Robert Fischell. Date of Interview: 10 December 2004. Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d)☐ Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description: _____. Claim(s) discussed: 1,21,60 and 68. Identification of prior art discussed: Fischell et al (6,112,116). Agreement with respect to the claims f was reached. g was not reached. h N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant argued that '116 patent does not teach a drug port, and that prior art does not show combination of implanted heart attack detecting device with drug port; will submit amendment to claims. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required